1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 AT TACOMA 4 BARRY SCOTT BLAND, CASE NO. CV19-5482 BHS 5 Petitioner, (17-cr-05385-BHS-1) v. 6 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER GRANTING 7 PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED Respondent. MOTION TO VACATE 8 CONVICTION AND SENTENCE 9 This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner Barry Scott Bland's ("Bland") 10 motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Dkt. 1. 11 On May 31, 2019, Bland filed a motion to vacate his 2018 conviction for Felon in 12 Possession of Ammunition in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Dkt. 1. Bland argued 13 that his § 922(g) conviction was unlawful because he did not have a qualifying predicate 14 felony offense under the reasoning of *United States v. Valencia-Mendoza*, 912 F.3d 1215 15 (9th Cir. 2019) ("Valencia-Mendoza") because his mandatory state guideline range for 16 any predicate offense was a sentence of less than one year. *Id.* 17 While Bland's motion was pending, the Ninth Circuit took up the issue in *United* 18 States v. McAdory, C.A. No. 18-30112 ("McAdory"). This Court stayed Bland's motion 19 pending the outcome of McAdory. Dkt. 4. The Circuit issued the McAdory opinion on 20 August 28, 2019. *United States v. McAdory*, 935 F.3d 838 (9th Cir. 2019). In relevant 21 part, the panel concluded that it was bound by Valencia-Mendoza's holding that an

22

offense is not punishable by more than one year if the defendant is not actually exposed to a sentence exceeding one year under Washington's mandatory sentencing scheme. *Id.* Therefore, the panel vacated the defendant's § 922(g) conviction for lack of a predicate felony. *Id.*

On September 23, 2019, the Court held a status conference where the Government argued that Bland's motion should be further stayed while the Solicitor General decided whether to pursue en banc review in *McAdory*. Dkt. 6. On November 19, 2019, the Government filed a surreply stating that the Solicitor General had decided not to seek en banc review. Dkt. 9. The Government also conceded in its surreply that "*McAdory* controls the outcome of Bland's timely § 2255 motion" *Id*.

Because Bland lacks a qualifying predicate offense under *Valencia-Mendoza* and *McAdory*, his conviction for violation of § 922(g)(1) is unlawful. Therefore, his motion for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is **GRANTED**, his judgment of conviction in *United States v. Bland*, No. 17-cr-5385 BHS is **VACATED**, and the one-count indictment in that case is **DISMISSED**. The Probation Office shall release Bland from any supervision obligations forthwith. Regarding the instant matter, the Clerk shall enter a judgment in Bland's favor and close this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 2nd day of December, 2019.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE

United States District Judge